

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,667	12/05/2003	Kieran Murphy	337133-00016	8478	
	7590 09/19/200 CHIN ROSENMAN I J		EXAMINER		
(C/O PATENT ADMINISTRATOR) 2900 K STREET NW, SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, DC 20007-5118			BUL, VY Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DEL HERMANDE	
			09/19/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary 107727,667 MURPHY, KIERAN Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) \(\frac{VY \ Q. Bui}{V} \) \(\frac{Sui}{V} \)						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) \(\frac{VY \cdot Q \cdot Bul}{N} \) (2) \(\frac{Richard P. Bauer}{N} \) Date of Interview: \(\frac{16 \cdot September 2008}{16 \cdot September 2008} \) Type: \(a \) \(\] Telephonic \(b \) \(\) Video Conference \(c \) \(\) Personal [copy given to: 1) \(\) applicant \(2 \) \(\) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: \(d \) \(\) Yes \(e \) \(\) Yes \(e \) for description: \(\) \(Interview Summary	Examiner	,			
(2) Richard P. Bauer. Date of Interview: 16 September 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference o' Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative) Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ding et al. 6.120.536. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claim will be amended to further define the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW USUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		Vy Q. Bui	3773			
Date of Interview: 16 September 2008. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference children (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes if Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes if Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes if Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes eli □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes eli □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes eli □ No. If Yes, brief description or art discussed: Ding et al6.120,536. Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claim will be amended to further define the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
Date of Interview: 16 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c] ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2 ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes if Yes, brief description: ☐ . Claim(s) discussed: Independent claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ding et al. 6.120.536. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claim will be amended to further define the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) <u>Vy Q. Bui</u> .	(3)				
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description:	(2) Richard P. Bauer.	(4)				
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ding et al6.120.536. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claim will be amended to further define the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.					
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: <u>independent claim 1</u> . Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ding et al6.120.536</u> . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>the claim will be amended to further define the invention</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THEIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ding et al-6,120,536 . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:						